Peer Review of Resuscitation Teams

The whole team and team working should be assessed as a whole. The framework for marking below allows you to put comments in for feedback as well as scoring for numerical values.

The peer review system is designed to be a formative process.

Nobody runs a perfect resus and therefore if you find yourself scoring the team as all 4's then you may well be doing it wrong.

You may wish to keep page 2 in your portfolio as evidence of personal audit, relationship with colleagues etc.

| Team Leader | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Peer reviewer | | |
| Clinical Scenario (brief outline) | | |

1= below standard 2 = basic standard 3= above standard 4 = excellent

Modified from TEAM

| Area | Action | Mark | comments |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| Leadership | Direction and | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | |
| control | command | | |
| | - Communa | | |
| | Leader maintained | | |
| | global perspective | | |
| | Siesen bereheeming | | |
| Teamwork | Effective | | |
| | communication | | |
| | | | |
| | Co-operation and co- | | |
| | ordination | | |
| | | | |
| | Team acted with | | |
| | composure and control | | |
| | | | |
| | Team morale positive | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Team adapted to | | |
| | changing situations | | |
| | | | |
| | Team monitored and | | |
| | reassessed the | | |
| | situation | | |
| | Team anticipated | | |
| | potential actions | | |
| | | | |
| Task | Team prioritised tasks | | |
| manageme | | | |
| nt | The team fellowed | | |
| | The team followed | | |
| | approved standards and guidelines | | |
| GLOBAL | Your global rating of the | | |
| RATING | team's non-technical | | |
| NATING. | performance (1-10) | | |
| | periormance (± ±0) | l | |